

# Relative Entropy for States of von Neumann Algebras II

By

Huzihiro ARAKI\*

## Abstract

Earlier definition of the relative entropy of two faithful normal positive linear functionals of a von Neumann algebra is generalized to non-faithful functionals. Basic properties of the relative entropy are proved for this generalization.

## § 1. Introduction

For two faithful normal positive linear functionals  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  of a von Neumann algebra  $M$ , the relative entropy  $S(\psi|\phi)$  is defined and its properties are proved in an earlier paper [1].

When  $M$  is a finite dimensional factor, it is given by

$$(1.1) \quad S(\psi|\phi) = \phi(\log \rho_\psi - \log \rho_\phi)$$

where  $\rho_\phi$  and  $\rho_\psi$  are density matrices for  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ . If  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  are faithful,  $\rho_\phi$  and  $\rho_\psi$  are strictly positive and (1.1) clearly makes sense. However the first term of (1.1) always makes sense (under the convention  $\lambda \log \lambda = 0$  for  $\lambda = 0$ ) and the second term is either finite or infinite. Therefore (1.1) can be given an unambiguous finite or positive infinite value for every  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ .

We shall make corresponding generalization for an arbitrary von Neumann algebra  $M$  and any normal positive linear functionals  $\psi$  and  $\phi$ . We shall also define the relative entropy of two positive linear functionals of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  and give an alternative proof of a result of [2]. For the latter case, we relate the conditional entropy introduced in [3] with our relative entropy.

---

Received September 10, 1976.

\* ZIF, Universität Eielefeld, BRD, Permanent address: Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, JAPAN

The relative entropy for non-faithful functionals will be shown to satisfy all properties proved for faithful functionals in [1]. Some of these properties will be applied to a discussion of local thermodynamical stability in [3].

For simplicity, we shall assume that  $M$  has a faithful normal state although many of the results are independent of this assumption.

## § 2. Relative Modular Operator

Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  be vectors in a natural positive cone  $V$  ([4], [5], [6]) for a von Neumann algebra  $M$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be the corresponding normal positive linear functionals of  $M$ . Let  $s^R(\Omega)$  denote the  $R$ -support of a vector  $\Omega$ , where  $R$  is a von Neumann algebra.

**Definition 2.1.** Operators  $S_{\phi, \psi}$  and  $F_{\phi, \psi}$  with their domains

$$D(S_{\phi, \psi}) = M\Psi + (\mathbf{1} - s^{M'}(\Psi))H,$$

$$D(F_{\phi, \psi}) = M'\Psi + (\mathbf{1} - s^M(\Psi))H,$$

are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad S_{\phi, \psi} \{x\Psi + \Omega\} = s^M(\Psi) x^* \Phi,$$

$$(2.2) \quad F_{\phi, \psi} \{x'\Psi + \Omega'\} = s^{M'}(\Psi) x'^* \Phi,$$

where  $x \in M$ ,  $x' \in M'$ ,  $s^{M'}(\Psi)\Omega = 0$ ,  $s^M(\Psi)\Omega' = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.**  $S_{\phi, \psi}$  and  $F_{\phi, \psi}$  are closable antilinear operators.

*Proof:* If  $x_1\Psi + \Omega_1 = x_2\Psi + \Omega_2$  for  $x_1, x_2 \in M$  and  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in (\mathbf{1} - s^{M'}(\Psi))H$ , then  $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$  and  $(x_1 - x_2)s^M(\Psi) = \mathbf{0}$ , so that  $s^M(\Psi)x_1^*\Phi = s^M(\Psi)x_2^*\Phi$ . This shows that  $S_{\phi, \psi}$  is well-defined. Then it is clearly antilinear. Similarly  $F_{\phi, \psi}$  is an antilinear operator.

Let  $x \in M$ ,  $x' \in M'$ ,  $s^{M'}(\Psi)\Omega = s^M(\Psi)\Omega' = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{\phi, \psi} \{x\Psi + \Omega\}, \{x'\Psi + \Omega'\}) &= (x^*\Phi, x'\Psi) \\ &= (F_{\phi, \psi} \{x'\Psi + \Omega'\}, \{x\Psi + \Omega\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S_{\phi, \psi}$  and  $F_{\phi, \psi}$  have dense domains, this shows the closability of

$S_{\theta, \Psi}$  and  $F_{\theta, \Psi}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The relative modular operator  $\Delta_{\theta, \Psi}$  is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_{\theta, \Psi} = (S_{\theta, \Psi})^* \bar{S}_{\theta, \Psi}$$

where the bar denotes the closure.

We denote by  $J$  the modular conjugation operator associated with the natural positive cone  $V$ .

**Theorem 2.4.**

- (1) The kernel of  $\Delta_{\theta, \Psi}$  is  $\mathbf{1} - s^{M'}(\Psi) s^M(\Phi)$ .
- (2) The following formulas hold, where the bar denotes the closure.

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{S}_{\theta, \Psi} = J(\Delta_{\theta, \Psi})^{1/2}, \quad \bar{F}_{\theta, \Psi} = (\Delta_{\theta, \Psi})^{1/2} J, \quad \text{Tomita-Takesaki}$$

$$(2.5) \quad J\Delta_{\Psi, \theta} J\Delta_{\theta, \Psi} = \Delta_{\theta, \Psi} J\Delta_{\Psi, \theta} J = s^{M'}(\Psi) s^M(\Phi).$$

- (3) If  $s^M(\Phi_1) \perp s^M(\Phi_2)$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta_{\theta_1 + \theta_2, \Psi} = \Delta_{\theta_1, \Psi} + \Delta_{\theta_2, \Psi}.$$

*Proof:*

(1) and (2): First we prove Theorem for the special case  $\Phi = \Psi$ . The domain of  $S_{\Psi, \Psi}$  is split into a direct sum of 3 parts:

$$D(S_{\Psi, \Psi}) = s^M(\Psi) M\Psi + (\mathbf{1} - s^M(\Psi)) M\Psi + (\mathbf{1} - s^{M'}(\Psi)) H.$$

Accordingly, we split  $S_{\Psi, \Psi}$  as a direct sum

$$S_{\Psi, \Psi} = \hat{S}_{\Psi, \Psi} \oplus \mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbf{0}$$

where  $S_{\Psi, \Psi}$  is the operator on  $s^M(\Psi) s^{M'}(\Psi) H$  defined by

$$\hat{S}_{\Psi, \Psi} x\Psi = x^*\Psi, \quad x \in s^M(\Psi) M s^M(\Psi)$$

and the splitting of the Hilbert space is

$$H = s^M(\Psi) s^{M'}(\Psi) H \oplus (\mathbf{1} - s^M(\Psi)) s^{M'}(\Psi) H \oplus (\mathbf{1} - s^{M'}(\Psi)) H.$$

Since  $\Psi$  is cyclic and separating relative to  $s^M(\Psi) M s^M(\Psi)$  in the subspace  $s^M(\Psi) s^{M'}(\Psi) H$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_{\Psi, \Psi} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\Psi, \Psi} \oplus \mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbf{0}$$

where  $\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}}$  is the modular operator of  $\mathcal{P}$  relative to  $s^M(\mathcal{P})Ms^M(\mathcal{P})$ . Since<sup>1)</sup>  $s^{M'}(\mathcal{P}) = Js^M(\mathcal{P})J$ ,  $J$  commutes with  $s^M(\mathcal{P})$   $s^{M'}(\mathcal{P})$  and hence leaves  $s^M(\mathcal{P})s^{M'}(\mathcal{P})H$  invariant. The restriction of  $J$  to this subspace is the modular conjugation operator for  $\mathcal{P}$ , as can be checked by the characterization of  $J$  given in [4]. Therefore the known property of the modular operator for a cyclic and separating vector implies (1) and (2) for the case  $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset$ .

To prove (1) and (2) for the general case, we use the  $2 \times 2$  matrix method of Connes [7]. Let  $\tilde{M} = M \otimes M_2$  with  $M_2$  a type  $I_2$  factor on a 4-dimensional space  $K$ , let  $u_{ij}$  be a matrix unit of  $M_2$ , let  $e_{ij}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $K$  satisfying  $u_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$ , let  $J_K$  be the modular conjugation operator of  $e_{11} + e_{22}$  (i.e.  $J_K e_{ij} = e_{ji}$ ), and let

$$(2.8) \quad \Omega = \sum \Omega_j \otimes e_{jj}$$

with  $\Omega_1 = \mathcal{P}$  and  $\Omega_2 = \emptyset$ . From definition, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} s^{\tilde{M}}(\Omega) &= \sum s^M(\Omega_j) \otimes u_{jj}, \\ s^{\tilde{M}'}(\Omega) &= \sum s^{M'}(\Omega_j) \otimes J_K u_{jj} J_K, \\ (\mathbf{1} \otimes u_{ii}) S_{\Omega, \Omega} (\mathbf{1} \otimes u_{jj}) &= S_{\Omega_j, \Omega_i} \otimes u_{ii} J_K u_{jj}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the modular conjugation operator  $J$  for the natural positive cone of  $M$  containing  $V \otimes (e_1 + e_2)$  is given by  $J \otimes J_K$ , we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta_{\Omega, \Omega} (\mathbf{1} \otimes J_K u_{ii} J_K u_{jj}) = \Delta_{\Omega_j, \Omega_i} \otimes J_K u_{ii} J_K u_{jj}.$$

Hence (1) and (2) proved above for  $\Delta_{\Omega, \Omega}$  imply the same for  $\Delta_{\emptyset, \mathcal{P}}$  and  $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}, \emptyset}$ .

(3) If  $s^M(\emptyset_j)$  is mutually orthogonal for  $j=1, 2$ , then the same holds for  $s^{M'}(\emptyset_j) = Js^M(\emptyset_j)J$ . By (1) and (2), the range projection of  $S_{\emptyset_j, \mathcal{P}}$  is

$$Js^{M'}(\mathcal{P})s^M(\emptyset_j)J = s^M(\mathcal{P})s^{M'}(\emptyset_j)$$

and is mutually orthogonal for  $j=1, 2$ . The same holds for the corange projection. From definition we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad S_{\emptyset_1 + \emptyset_2, \mathcal{P}} = S_{\emptyset_1, \mathcal{P}} + S_{\emptyset_2, \mathcal{P}}.$$

Hence we obtain (2.6).

Q.E.D.

---

<sup>1)</sup> This follows from  $J\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$ .

**§ 3. Relative Entropy for States of von Neumann Algebras**

Let  $M, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{O}, \psi$  and  $\phi$  be as in the previous section. Let  $E_\lambda^{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}}$  denote the spectral projections of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}}, s(\omega)$  denote the support of the positive linear functional  $\omega$ .

**Definition 3.1.** For  $\phi \neq 0$ , the relative entropy  $S(\psi/\phi)$  is defined by

$$S(\psi/\phi) \begin{cases} = \int_{+0}^\infty \log \lambda d(\mathcal{O}, E_\lambda^{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}) & \text{if } s(\psi) \geq s(\phi). \\ = +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $S(\psi/\phi)$  is well defined, takes finite value or  $+\infty$  and satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad S(\psi/\phi) \geq -\phi(\mathbf{1}) \log \{ \psi(s(\phi)) / \phi(\mathbf{1}) \}.$$

*Proof:* First consider the case  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$ . Since  $s^M(\mathcal{P}) = s(\psi) \geq s(\phi) = s^M(\mathcal{O})$ , we have  $S_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{O}$ .

Since  $J\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$ , we have  $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}})^{1/2} \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}$ . Hence

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{+0}^\infty \lambda^{-1} d(\mathcal{O}, E_\lambda^{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}) &= (\mathcal{P}, (\mathbf{1} - E_{+0}^{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}}) \mathcal{P}) \\ &= (\mathcal{P}, s^{M'}(\mathcal{P}) s^M(\mathcal{O}) \mathcal{P}) \\ &= (\mathcal{P}, s(\phi) \mathcal{P}) = \psi(s(\phi)) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the integral defining  $S(\psi/\phi)$  converges at the lower end. Hence it is well defined and takes either finite value or  $+\infty$ .

Since  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$  implies

$$\int_{-1}^\infty d(\mathcal{O}, E_\lambda^{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}) = (\mathcal{O}, s^{M'}(\mathcal{P}) s^M(\mathcal{O}) \mathcal{O}) = \phi(\mathbf{1}),$$

$d(\mathcal{O}, E_\lambda^{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}) / \phi(\mathbf{1})$  is a probability measure on  $(0, +\infty)$ . By the concavity of the logarithm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(\psi/\phi) &= -\phi(\mathbf{1}) \int_{+0}^\infty \log(\lambda^{-1}) d(\mathcal{O}, E_\lambda^{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}) / \phi(\mathbf{1}) \\ &\geq -\phi(\mathbf{1}) \log \left\{ \int_{+0}^\infty \lambda^{-1} d(\mathcal{O}, E_\lambda^{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}) / \phi(\mathbf{1}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\phi(\mathbf{1}) \log \{ \psi(s(\phi)) / \phi(\mathbf{1}) \}.$$

The statement of Lemma holds trivially for the case where  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$  does not hold.

*Remark 3.3.* The definition of  $S(\psi/\phi)$  uses the (unique) vector representatives  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  in a natural positive cone  $V$ . The value  $S(\psi/\phi)$ , however does not depend on the choice of the natural positive cone  $V$  because of the following reason. If  $V'$  is another natural positive cone, then there exists a unitary  $w' \in M'$  such that  $V' = w'V$ .  $\Psi' = w'\Psi$  and  $\Phi' = w'\Phi$  are representative vectors of  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  in  $V'$ . We then obtain  $\Delta_{\Phi', \Psi'} = w' \Delta_{\Phi, \Psi} (w')^*$  and hence  $S(\psi/\phi)$  is unchanged.

*Remark 3.4.* By Theorem 2.4 (2), we have

$$\{ \log \Delta_{\Phi, \Psi} + J(\log \Delta_{\Psi, \Phi})J \} s^M(\Phi) s^{M'}(\Psi) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Hence, for the case  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$ , we obtain the following expression ([1]):

$$(3.3) \quad S(\psi/\phi) = -(\Phi, \log \Delta_{\Psi, \Phi} \Phi).$$

*Remark 3.5.* If  $s(\psi) = s(\phi)$ , then  $\Delta_{\Phi, \Psi}$  is  $\mathbf{0}$  on  $(\mathbf{1} - s(\psi)Js(\psi)J)H$  and coincides with the relative modular operator for  $s(\psi)Ms(\psi)$  on the space  $s(\psi)Js(\psi)JH$ , where  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are cyclic and separating for  $s(\psi)Ms(\psi)$ . Hence  $S(\psi/\phi)$  in this case is the same as the relative entropy of two *faithful* normal positive linear functionals  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  of  $s(\psi)Ms(\psi)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.**

(1) If  $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = \phi(\mathbf{1}) > 0$ , then  $S(\psi/\phi) \geq 0$ . The equality  $S(\psi/\phi) = 0$  holds if and only if  $\psi = \phi$ .

(2) If  $s(\phi_1) \perp s(\phi_2)$ , then

$$(3.4) \quad S(\psi/\phi_1) + S(\psi/\phi_2) = S(\psi/\phi_1 + \phi_2).$$

(3) For  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad S(\lambda_1\psi/\lambda_2\phi) = \lambda_2 S(\psi/\phi) - \lambda_2 \phi(\mathbf{1}) \log(\lambda_1/\lambda_2).$$

(4) If  $\psi_1 \geq \psi_2$ , then

$$(3.6) \quad S(\psi_1/\phi) \leq S(\psi_2/\phi).$$

*Proof.*

(1) Since  $\phi(s(\phi)) \leq \phi(\mathbf{1})$ , the assumption  $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = \phi(\mathbf{1})$  and (3.1) imply  $S(\psi/\phi) \geq 0$ . Furthermore, the equality  $S(\psi/\phi) = 0$  holds only if  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$  and  $\psi(s(\phi)) = \psi(\mathbf{1})$ . We then have  $s(\phi) = s(\psi)$ ; hence Remark 3.5 and the strict positivity of  $S(\psi/\phi)$  for faithful  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  ([1]) imply  $\phi = \psi$  also in the present case. Conversely  $\phi = \psi$  implies  $S(\psi/\phi) = 0$ .

(2) (3.4) follows from (2.6) and Definition 3.1.

(3) The vector representatives for  $\lambda_1\psi$  and  $\lambda_2\phi$  differs from those for  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  by factors  $(\lambda_1)^{1/2}$  and  $(\lambda_2)^{1/2}$  respectively. Hence this induces a change of  $S_{\phi, \Psi}$  by a factor  $(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^{1/2}$  and a change of  $\Delta_{\phi, \Psi}$  by a factor  $(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)$ . The latter proves (3.5).

(4) If  $s(\psi_2) \geq s(\phi)$  does not hold, then (3.6) is trivially true. Hence we assume  $s(\psi_2) \geq s(\phi)$ . Since  $\psi_1 \geq \psi_2$  implies  $s(\psi_1) \geq s(\psi_2)$ , we also have  $s(\psi_1) \geq s(\phi)$ . The following proof is then the same as that for the case of faithful  $\psi$ 's and  $\phi$ :

Denoting representative vectors of  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  and  $\phi$  in the natural positive cone by  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$  and  $\Phi$ , respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Delta_{\Psi_1, \Phi})^{1/2} x \Phi\|^2 &= \|S_{\psi_1, \Phi} x \Phi\|^2 = \|s(\phi) x^* \Psi_1\|^2 \\ &= \psi_1(x s(\phi) x^*) \geq \psi_2(x s(\phi) x^*) = \|(\Delta_{\Psi_2, \Phi})^{1/2} x \Phi\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in M$ . Since both  $(\Delta_{\Psi_j, \Phi})^{1/2}$  vanish on  $(s^{M'}(\Phi)H)^\perp$  and since  $M\Phi + (\mathbf{1} - s^{M'}(\Phi))H$  is the core of  $(\Delta_{\Psi_1, \Phi})^{1/2}$ , it follows that the domain of  $(\Delta_{\Psi_1, \Phi})^{1/2}$  is contained in the domain of  $(\Delta_{\Psi_2, \Phi})^{1/2}$  and for all  $\Omega$  in the domain of  $(\Delta_{\Psi_1, \Phi})^{1/2}$

$$\|(\Delta_{\Psi_1, \Phi})^{1/2} \Omega\| \geq \|(\Delta_{\Psi_2, \Phi})^{1/2} \Omega\|.$$

Hence

$$\|(\Delta_{\Psi_1, \Phi} + r)^{1/2} \Omega\|^2 \geq \|(\Delta_{\Psi_2, \Phi} + r)^{1/2} \Omega\|^2$$

for all such  $\Omega$  and  $r > 0$ . Taking  $\Omega = (\Delta_{\Psi_1, \Phi} + r)^{-1/2} \Omega'$  with an arbitrary  $\Omega'$ , we find

$$\|(\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_2, \theta} + r)^{1/2}(\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_1, \theta} + r)^{-1/2}\| \leq 1.$$

Taking adjoint operator acting on  $\Omega = (\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_2, \theta} + r)^{-1/2}\Omega'$  with an arbitrary  $\Omega'$ , we find

$$\|(\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_1, \theta} + r)^{-1/2}\Omega'\|^2 \leq \|(\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_2, \theta} + r)^{-1/2}\Omega'\|^2$$

and hence

$$(3.7) \quad (\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_1, \theta} + r)^{-1} \leq (\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_2, \theta} + r)^{-1}.$$

By (3.3) we have

$$(3.8) \quad S(\psi_j/\phi) = - \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^\infty [(1+r)^{-1} - (\lambda+r)^{-1}] dr \right\} d(\Theta, E_\lambda^{\mathbb{F}_j, \theta} \Theta) \\ = \int_0^\infty (\Theta, [(r + \Delta_{\mathbb{F}_j, \theta})^{-1} - (1+r)^{-1}] \Theta) dr$$

where  $E_\lambda^{\mathbb{F}_j, \theta}$  is the spectral projection of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_j, \theta}$  and the interchange of  $r$ - and  $\lambda$ -integrations are allowed because the double integral is definite in the Lebesgue sense (finite or  $+\infty$ ) due to

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda d(\Theta, E_\lambda^{\mathbb{F}_j, \theta} \Theta) = \|(\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_j, \theta})^{1/2} \Theta\|^2 = \|s(\phi) \mathcal{P}_j\|^2 < \infty.$$

The equations (3.8) and (3.7) imply (3.6).

Q.E.D.

The following Theorem describes the continuity property of  $S(\psi/\phi)$  as a function of  $\psi$  and  $\phi$ . (It is the same as the case of faithful  $\phi$  and  $\phi$ .)

**Theorem 3.7.**

Assume that  $\lim \|\phi_\alpha - \phi\| = \lim \|\psi_\alpha - \psi\| = 0$ .

(1)  $\liminf S(\psi_\alpha/\phi_\alpha) \geq S(\psi/\phi)$  (the lower semicontinuity).

(2) If  $\lambda\phi_\alpha \geq \phi_\alpha$  for a fixed  $\lambda > 0$ , then

$$\lim S(\psi_\alpha/\phi_\alpha) = S(\psi/\phi).$$

(3) If  $\psi_\alpha$  is monotone decreasing, then

$$\lim S(\psi_\alpha/\phi) = S(\psi/\phi).$$

We shall give proof of this Theorem in the next section. Using this theorem in an approximation argument, we obtain the next theorem from the same theorem ([1]) for faithful functionals.



**Theorem 3.8.**

- (1)  $S(\psi/\phi)$  is jointly convex in  $\psi$  and  $\phi$ .
- (2) Let  $N$  be a von Neumann subalgebra of  $M$  and  $E_N\omega$  denotes the restriction of a functional  $\omega$  to  $N$ . Then

$$(3.9) \quad S(E_N\psi/E_N\phi) \leq S(\psi/\phi)$$

if  $N$  is any one of the following type:

- ( $\alpha$ )  $N = \mathfrak{A}' \cap M$  for a finite dimensional abelian  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $M$ .
- ( $\beta$ )  $M = N \otimes N_1$ .
- ( $\gamma$ )  $N$  is approximately finite.

*Proof.*

- (1) We have to prove the following

$$(3.10) \quad S\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \psi_j / \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \phi_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j S(\psi_j/\phi_j)$$

for  $\lambda_j > 0$ ,  $\sum \lambda_j = 1$ . Let  $\psi = \sum \lambda_j \psi_j$ ,  $\phi = \sum \lambda_j \phi_j$ ,  $\omega = \psi + \phi$ . By Remark 3.5,

$$S(\psi + \varepsilon\omega/\phi + \eta\omega) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j S(\psi_j + \varepsilon\omega/\phi_j + \eta\omega)$$

follows from the convexity of  $S(\psi_0/\phi_0)$  for faithful  $\psi_0$  and  $\phi_0$ . We first take the limit  $\eta \rightarrow +0$  using Theorem 3.7 (2) and then take the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$  using Theorem 3.7 (3) to obtain (3.10).

- (2) Let  $\omega_0$  be a faithful normal state of  $M$  and let  $\omega = \omega_0 + \phi + \psi$ . Then

$$S(E_N(\psi + \varepsilon\omega)/E_N(\phi + \eta\omega)) \leq S(\psi + \varepsilon\omega/\phi + \eta\omega).$$

Again Theorem 3.7 (2) and (3) yield (3.9). Q.E.D.

The following Theorem describe some continuity property of  $S(E_N\psi/E_N\phi)$  on  $N$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $N_\alpha$  be monotone increasing net of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  generating  $M$ .*

- (1)  $\liminf S(E_{N_\alpha}\psi/E_{N_\alpha}\phi) \geq S(\psi/\phi)$ .
- (2) If  $N_\alpha$  is an AF algebra for all  $\alpha$ , then

$$\lim S(E_{N_\alpha}\psi/E_{N_\alpha}\phi) = S(\psi/\phi).$$

Proof of (1) and (2) will be given in the next section. (2) follows from (1) and Theorem 3.8 (2) ( $\gamma$ ).

Let  $\psi$  be a faithful normal positive linear functional of  $M$  corresponding to a cyclic and separating vector  $\Psi$  and  $h = h^* \in M$ . Let  $\Psi(h)$  denote the perturbed vector defined by (4.1) in [8]. Let  $\psi^h$  denote the perturbed state defined by

$$\psi^h(x) = (\Psi(h), x\Psi(h)), \quad x \in M.$$

**Theorem 3.10.**

$$S(\psi^h/\phi) = -\phi(h) + S(\psi/\phi),$$

$$S(\phi/\psi^h) = \psi^h(h) + S(\phi^h/\psi^h).$$

**§ 4. Some Continuity Properties**

We first prove some continuity properties of the relative modular operators.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $\lim \|\phi_\alpha - \phi\| = \lim \|\psi_\alpha - \psi\| = 0$ , then*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim (r + (\Delta_{\phi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha})^{1/2})^{-1} s^{M'}(\Psi) = (r + (\Delta_{\phi, \psi})^{1/2})^{-1} s^{M'}(\Psi)$$

for  $r > 0$  and the convergence is uniform in  $r$  if  $r$  is restricted to any compact subset of  $(0, \infty)$ , where  $\phi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha, \phi$  and  $\psi$  are the representative vectors of  $\phi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha, \phi$  and  $\psi$  in the positive natural cone, respectively.

*Proof.* The condition  $\lim \|\phi_\alpha - \phi\| = \lim \|\psi_\alpha - \psi\| = 0$  implies (Theorem 4(8) in [4])

$$(4.2) \quad \lim \|\phi_\alpha - \phi\| = \lim \|\psi_\alpha - \psi\| = 0.$$

For  $x' \in M'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim \|s^M(\Psi_\alpha)x'\Psi - x'\Psi\| \\ &= \lim \|s^M(\Psi_\alpha)x'(\Psi - \Psi_\alpha) + x'(\Psi_\alpha - \Psi)\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4.3) \quad \lim s^M(\Psi_\alpha) s^M(\Psi) = s^M(\Psi).$$

For  $x \in M s^M(\Psi)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (\Delta_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2} x \Psi_\alpha - (\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2} x \Psi \| \\ &= \| J(\Delta_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2} x \Psi_\alpha - J(\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2} x \Psi \| \\ &= \| s^M(\Psi_\alpha) x^* \Phi_\alpha - x^* \Phi \| \\ &\leq \| (s^M(\Psi_\alpha) s^M(\Psi) - s^M(\Psi)) x^* \Phi \| + \| s^M(\Psi_\alpha) x^* (\Phi_\alpha - \Phi) \| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \{ [\mathbf{1} + (\Delta_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1} - [\mathbf{1} + (\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}]^{-1} \} [\mathbf{1} + (\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}] x \Psi \| \\ &= \| [\mathbf{1} + (\Delta_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \{ x(\Psi - \Psi_\alpha) + [(\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2} x \Psi - (\Delta_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2} x \Psi_\alpha] \} + x(\Psi_\alpha - \Psi) \| \\ &\leq 2 \| x(\Psi_\alpha - \Psi) \| + \| (\Delta_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2} x \Psi_\alpha - (\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2} x \Psi \| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M s^M(\Psi) \Psi + (\mathbf{1} - s^{M'}(\Psi)) H$  is a core for  $(\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}$ , the vectors

$$(\mathbf{1} + (\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}) x \Psi, \quad x \in M s^M(\Psi)$$

are dense in  $s^{M'}(\Psi) H$ . Since

$$\| [\mathbf{1} + (\Delta_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1} \| \leq 1$$

is uniformly bounded, we obtain

$$\lim [\mathbf{1} + (\Delta_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1} s^{M'}(\Psi) = [\mathbf{1} + (\Delta_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}]^{-1} s^{M'}(\Psi).$$

The rest of the proof is standard. For  $r > 0$  and  $\Delta_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha^* \geq 0$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad (r + \Delta_\alpha)^{-1} = R_r(\Delta_\alpha) (\mathbf{1} + \Delta_\alpha)^{-1}$$

with

$$R_r(\Delta_\alpha) = \{ \mathbf{1} + (r-1) (\mathbf{1} + \Delta_\alpha)^{-1} \}^{-1},$$

$$\| R_r(\Delta_\alpha) \| \leq \max \{ 1, r^{-1} \}.$$

If  $\Delta = \Delta^* \geq 0$ ,  $\lim (\mathbf{1} + \Delta_\alpha)^{-1} s = (\mathbf{1} + \Delta)^{-1} s$  for a projection  $s$  commuting with  $\Delta$ , then the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (r + \Delta_\alpha)^{-1} - (r + \Delta)^{-1} &= R_r(\Delta_\alpha) \{ (\mathbf{1} + \Delta_\alpha)^{-1} - (\mathbf{1} + \Delta)^{-1} \} \\ &\quad - R_r(\Delta_\alpha) (r-1) \{ (\mathbf{1} + \Delta_\alpha)^{-1} - (\mathbf{1} + \Delta)^{-1} \} R_r(\Delta) (\mathbf{1} + \Delta)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$\lim \{ (r + \mathcal{A}_\alpha)^{-1} - (r + \mathcal{A})^{-1} \} s = 0,$$

where the convergence is uniform if  $r$  is restricted to any compact subset of  $(0, \infty)$ . By applying this result to  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}$  and  $s = s^{M'}(\Psi)$ , we obtain the Lemma. Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 3.7 (1).* We divide our proof into several steps. Obviously we may omit those  $\alpha$  for which  $s(\psi_\alpha) \geq s(\phi_\alpha)$  does not hold out of our consideration so that we may assume  $s(\psi_\alpha) \geq s(\phi_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha$  without loss of generality.

(a) *The case where  $\psi$  is faithful:* Due to  $s(\psi) = \mathbf{1}$ , we have  $s^{M'}(\Psi) = J s^M(\Psi) J = \mathbf{1}$ . Hence (4.2) and Lemma 4.1 imply

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad \lim \int_\varepsilon^L dr (\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \{ (1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1} \} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \\ = \int_\varepsilon^L dr (\mathcal{O}, \{ (1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}]^{-1} \} \mathcal{O}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < \varepsilon < L < \infty$ . (Note that

$$\| [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1} \| \leq r^{-1}$$

is uniformly bounded.)

We also have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad \int_0^\varepsilon dr \left| (\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \{ (1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1} \} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \right| \\ = \int_0^\varepsilon dr \left| \int_0^\infty (1+r)^{-1} (1+r\lambda^{-1/2})^{-1} (1-\lambda^{-1/2}) d(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, E_\lambda^{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \right| \\ \leq \int_0^\varepsilon dr \int_0^\infty \max(1, \lambda^{-1}) d(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, E_\lambda^{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \\ \leq \varepsilon (\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{1}) + \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{1})) \end{aligned}$$

due to (3.2), where  $E_\lambda^{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha}$  is the spectral projection of  $\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (4.7) \quad \int_L^\infty dr \left| \int_0^1 \{ (1+r)^{-1} - (r+\lambda^{1/2})^{-1} \} d(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, E_\lambda^{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \right| \\ = \int_L^\infty dr \int_0^1 (1+r)^{-1} (1+r\lambda^{-1/2})^{-1} (\lambda^{-1/2} - 1) d(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, E_\lambda^{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_L^\infty dr(1+r)^{-1}r^{-1} \int_0^1 d(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, E_\lambda^{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \\ &\leq \log(1+L^{-1})\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$(4.8) \quad \int_L^\infty dr \int_1^\infty \{(1+r)^{-1} - (r+\lambda^{1/2})^{-1}\} d(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, E_\lambda^{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad \liminf \int_0^\infty dr (\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \mathcal{O}_\alpha) \\ \geq \int_\varepsilon^L dr (\mathcal{O}, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \mathcal{O}) \\ - \varepsilon(\phi(\mathbf{1}) + \psi(\mathbf{1})) - \{\log(1+L^{-1})\}\phi(\mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

We now use the following formula, which holds if  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (4.10) \quad S(\psi/\phi) &= 2 \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \{(1+r)^{-1} - (r+\lambda^{1/2})^{-1}\} dr \right) d(\mathcal{O}, E_\lambda^{\psi, \varphi} \mathcal{O}) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\varepsilon dr (\mathcal{O}, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\theta, \varphi})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \mathcal{O}), \end{aligned}$$

where the change of the order of  $r$ - and  $\lambda$ - integrations is allowed because the integral is definite in the Lebesgue sense (finite or  $+\infty$ ) due to (3.2).

By taking the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$  and  $L \rightarrow +\infty$  and by substituting (4.10) and the same formula for the pair  $\psi_\alpha, \phi_\alpha$ , we obtain Theorem 3.7 (1) for this case.

(b) *The case where  $\phi_\alpha$  is independent of  $\alpha$ :* By (3.3) and by the same computation as (4.10), we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad S(\psi_\alpha/\phi) = -2 \int_0^\infty dr (\mathcal{O}, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{r_\alpha, \theta})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \mathcal{O})$$

where the boundedness

$$(4.12) \quad \int_0^\infty \lambda d(\mathcal{O}, E_\lambda^{\varphi_\alpha, \theta} \mathcal{O}) = \|(\mathcal{A}_{r_\alpha, \theta})^{1/2} \mathcal{O}\|^2 = \psi_\alpha(s(\phi)) < \infty$$

guarantees the definiteness of the integral in (4.11). (Note that  $s(\psi_\alpha) \geq s(\phi_\alpha) = s(\phi)$ .)

By Lemma 4.1 and by the same argument as the Case (a), we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad \liminf S(\psi_\alpha/\phi) \geq -2 \int_0^\infty dr(\mathcal{O}, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\Psi, \mathcal{O}})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \mathcal{O}).$$

Since  $(\mathcal{A}_{\Psi, \mathcal{O}})^{1/2}$  commutes with  $s^M(\Psi) = Js^{M'}(\Psi)J$ , the inner product in (4.13) is the sum of contributions from the expectation values in  $(\mathbf{1} - s^M(\Psi))\mathcal{O}$  and  $s^M(\Psi)\mathcal{O}$ . The first one is given by

$$-2 \int_0^\infty dr(\mathcal{O}, \{(1+r)^{-1} - r^{-1}\} (\mathbf{1} - s^M(\Psi))\mathcal{O}) = +\infty$$

if

$$(\mathcal{O}, (\mathbf{1} - s^M(\Psi))\mathcal{O}) = \phi(\{\mathbf{1} - s(\psi)\}) > 0,$$

i.e. if  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$  does not hold. The second one is either finite or  $+\infty$  by (4.12). Hence if  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$  does not hold, then

$$(4.14) \quad \lim S(\psi_\alpha/\phi) = +\infty = S(\psi/\phi).$$

If  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$  holds, then (4.13) already proves Theorem 3.7 (1) for the present case.

(c) *General case:* Let  $\omega$  be a normal faithful state. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain

$$\liminf S(\psi_\alpha + \varepsilon\omega/\phi_\alpha) \geq S(\psi + \varepsilon\omega/\phi)$$

by the Case (a). By Theorem 3.6 (4),

$$S(\psi_\alpha + \varepsilon\omega/\phi_\alpha) \leq S(\psi_\alpha/\phi_\alpha).$$

Hence

$$\liminf S(\psi_\alpha/\phi_\alpha) \geq S(\psi + \varepsilon\omega/\phi).$$

By taking the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$  and using the Case (b), we obtain Theorem 3.7 (1) for the general case.

*Proof of Theorem 3.7 (2).* If  $\omega' \geq \lambda^{-1}\omega$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , then (3.7) implies

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}', \mathcal{O}} + r)^{-1} \leq (\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}} + r)^{-1}.$$

Due to the identity

$$(r + \rho^{1/2})^{-1} = \pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty (\rho + x)^{-1} (x + r^2)^{-1} x^{1/2} dx, \quad r > 0,$$

for a positive self-adjoint  $\rho$ , this implies

$$(r + (\Delta_{\rho', \rho})^{1/2})^{-1} \leq (r + \lambda^{-1/2} (\Delta_{\rho', \rho})^{1/2})^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\mathbf{1}) &\geq (\Omega, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\Delta_{\rho', \rho})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \Omega) \\ &\geq \omega(\mathbf{1}) \{(1+r)^{-1} - (\lambda^{-1/2} + r)^{-1}\} \\ &= \omega(\mathbf{1}) (1+r)^{-1} (1 + \lambda^{1/2} r)^{-1} (1 - \lambda^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (4.15) \quad -\varepsilon \omega(\mathbf{1}) &\leq - \int_0^\varepsilon dr (\Omega, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\Delta_{\rho', \rho})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \Omega) \\ &\leq \omega(\mathbf{1}) \log \{(1 + \varepsilon) (1 + \lambda^{1/2} \varepsilon)^{-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We also have for  $L > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (4.16) \quad \omega(\mathbf{1}) \log(1 + L^{-1}) &= - \int_L^\infty (\Omega, \{(1+r)^{-1} - r^{-1}\} \Omega) \\ &\geq - \int_L^\infty dr (\Omega, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\Delta_{\rho', \rho})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \Omega) \\ &= - \int_L^\infty dr (\Omega, (1+r)^{-1} [r + (\Delta_{\rho', \rho})^{1/2}]^{-1} \{(\Delta_{\rho', \rho})^{1/2} - \mathbf{1}\} \Omega) \\ &\geq - \int_L^\infty (1+r)^{-1} r^{-1} dr \|(\Delta_{\rho', \rho})^{1/2} \Omega\|^2 \\ &= -\omega'(\mathbf{1}) \log(1 + L^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by using the spectral decomposition  $\Delta_{\rho', \rho} = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$  and majorizing  $(r + \lambda^{1/2})^{-1} (\lambda^{1/2} - 1)$  by  $r^{-1} \lambda$  for  $0 \leq \lambda$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim \int_\varepsilon^L dr (\Phi_\alpha, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\Delta_{\rho_\alpha, \phi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \Phi_\alpha) \\ = \int_\varepsilon^L dr (\Phi, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\Delta_{\rho, \phi})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \Phi), \end{aligned}$$

the estimates (4.15) and (4.16) for  $(\omega', \omega) = (\psi_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)$  and for  $(\omega', \omega) = (\psi, \phi)$  yield

$$(4.17) \quad \lim \int_0^\infty dr (\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\psi_\alpha, \phi_\alpha})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \mathcal{D}_\alpha) \\ = \int_0^\infty dr (\mathcal{D}, \{(1+r)^{-1} [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\psi, \phi})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \mathcal{D}).$$

Since  $\lambda\psi_\alpha \geq \phi_\alpha$  and its consequence  $\lambda\psi \geq \phi$  imply  $s(\psi_\alpha) \geq s(\phi_\alpha)$  and  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$ , the equations (4.17) and an expression of the form (4.11) for  $s(\psi_\alpha/\phi_\alpha)$  and  $s(\psi/\phi)$  imply Theorem 3.7 (2).

*Proof of Theorem 3.7 (3).* This follows from Theorem 3.7 (1) and Theorem 3.6 (4). Q.E.D.

*Remark 4.2.* The argument leading to (4.14) implies that the formula

$$(4.18) \quad S(\psi/\phi) = -2 \int_0^\infty dr (\mathcal{D}, \{(1+r)^{-1} - [r + (\mathcal{A}_{\psi, \phi})^{1/2}]^{-1}\} \mathcal{D}),$$

which is used in (4.11) for the case  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$ , holds for a general pair  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  (even if  $s(\psi) \geq s(\phi)$  does not hold). this is not the case for the formula of the form (4.10).

*Proof of Theorem 3.9 (1).* Let  $\omega_0$  be a faithful state,  $\omega = \omega_0 + \psi + \phi$ , and  $1 > \varepsilon > \mu > 0$ . The proof of Lemma 3 in [1] (without the assumption  $\psi \leq k\phi$  there) implies

$$(4.19) \quad \liminf S(E_{N_\alpha} \psi_\varepsilon / E_{N_\alpha} \phi_\mu) \geq S(\psi_\varepsilon / \phi_\mu)$$

where

$$\psi_\varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon)\psi + \varepsilon\omega, \quad \phi_\mu = (1 - \mu)\phi + \mu\omega.$$

By the convexity (Theorem 3.8 (1)),

$$(4.20) \quad S(E_{N_\alpha} \psi_\varepsilon / E_{N_\alpha} \phi_\mu) \\ \leq (1 - \mu) S(E_{N_\alpha} \psi_\varepsilon / E_{N_\alpha} \phi) + \mu S(E_{N_\alpha} \psi_\varepsilon / E_{N_\alpha} \omega).$$

By Theorem 3.6 (4) and (3), we have

$$(4.21) \quad S(E_{N_\alpha} \psi_\varepsilon / E_{N_\alpha} \omega) \leq S(E_{N_\alpha}(\varepsilon\omega) / E_{N_\alpha} \omega) \\ = -\omega(\mathbf{1}) \log \varepsilon < \infty.$$

By Theorem 3.7 (2)



$$(4.22) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} S(\psi_\varepsilon/\phi_\mu) = S(\psi_\varepsilon/\phi).$$

The formulas (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22) imply in the limit  $\mu \rightarrow +0$

$$(4.23) \quad \liminf S(E_{N_\alpha}\psi_\varepsilon/E_{N_\alpha}\phi) \geq S(\psi_\varepsilon/\phi).$$

By Theorem 3.7 (3),

$$(4.24) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S(\psi_\varepsilon/\phi) = S(\psi/\phi).$$

By Theorem 3.6 (4),

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} S(E_{N_\alpha}\psi_\varepsilon/E_{N_\alpha}\phi) &\leq S(E_{N_\alpha}(1-\varepsilon)\psi/E_{N_\alpha}\phi) \\ &= S(E_{N_\alpha}\psi/E_{N_\alpha}\phi) - \phi(\mathbf{1}) \log(1-\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The formulas (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25) imply in the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$  Theorem 3.9 (1).

*Proof of Theorem 3.9 (2).* This follows from Theorem 3.9 (1) and Theorem 3.8 (2) ( $\gamma$ ). Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 3.10.* First consider the case where  $\phi$  is faithful. Then  $\mathcal{Q}$  given by (2.8) is cyclic and separating for  $M$ . From the definition of the perturbed state and the expression (2.10), we obtain

$$(4.26) \quad \Psi(h) \otimes e_{11} + \Phi \otimes e_{22} = \mathcal{Q}(h \otimes u_{11}).$$

By (4.13) of [8], we have

$$(4.27) \quad \log \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}(h \otimes u_{11})} = \log \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}} + h \otimes u_{11} - j(h) \otimes J_K u_{11} J_k.$$

Here  $j(h)$  denotes  $JhJ$ . By (2.10), we obtain

$$(4.28) \quad \log \mathcal{A}_{\Psi(h), \Phi} = \log \mathcal{A}_{\Psi, \Phi} + h,$$

$$(4.29) \quad \log \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, \Psi(h)} = \log \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, \Psi} - j(h).$$

By (3.3), for example, we obtain Theorem 3.10 for the present case of a faithful  $\phi$ .

For the general case, we apply the result just proved to

$$\phi_\varepsilon = (1-\varepsilon)\phi + \varepsilon\psi, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

which is faithful:

$$(4.30) \quad S(\psi^h/\phi_\varepsilon) = -(1-\varepsilon)\phi(h) - \varepsilon\psi(h) + S(\psi/\phi_\varepsilon).$$

From the convexity of the relative entropy, we obtain

$$S(\psi^h/\phi_\varepsilon) \leq (1-\varepsilon)S(\psi^h/\phi) - \varepsilon\psi(h).$$

Combining the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$  of this relation with Theorem 3.7 (1), we obtain

$$(4.31) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} S(\psi^h/\phi_\varepsilon) = S(\psi^h/\phi).$$

For  $h=0$ , we have the same equation for  $\psi$ . Hence the first equation of Theorem 3.10 follows from (4.30). The second equation of Theorem 3.10 is trivially true for a non-faithful  $\phi$  because both sides of the equation is then  $+\infty$ .

### § 5. Relative Entropy of States of $C^*$ -Algebras

For two positive linear functionals  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we define the relative entropy  $S(\psi/\phi)$  by

$$(5.1) \quad S(\psi/\phi) \equiv S(\tilde{\psi}/\tilde{\phi})$$

where  $\tilde{\psi}$  and  $\tilde{\phi}$  are the unique normal extension of  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  to the enveloping von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}''$ .

If the cyclic representation  $\pi_\psi$  associated with  $\psi$  does not quasi-contain the cyclic representation  $\pi_\phi$  associated with  $\phi$ , then the central support of  $\tilde{\psi}$  does not majorize that of  $\tilde{\phi}$ , hence  $s(\tilde{\psi}) \geq s(\tilde{\phi})$  does not hold. Therefore

$$(5.2) \quad S(\psi/\phi) = +\infty$$

if  $\pi_\psi$  does not quasi-contain  $\pi_\phi$ .

From the definition (5.1), it follows that

$$(5.3) \quad S(\psi/\phi) = S(\hat{\psi}/\hat{\phi})$$

where  $\hat{\psi}$  and  $\hat{\phi}$  are the unique normal extension of  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  to  $M = \pi(\mathfrak{A})''$  where  $\pi = \pi_\psi \oplus \pi_\phi$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is separable, then  $M = \pi(\mathfrak{A})''$  for this  $\pi$  has a separable predual and hence all results in previous sections apply. In particular, if  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$  is a monotone increasing net of nuclear  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{A}$  generating  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then

$$(5.4) \quad \lim S(E_{\mathfrak{A}_\alpha} \psi / E_{\mathfrak{A}_\alpha} \phi) = S(\psi / \phi).$$

This implies the result in [2] that if

$$\sup_\alpha S(E_{\mathfrak{A}_\alpha} \psi / E_{\mathfrak{A}_\alpha} \phi) < \infty,$$

then  $\pi_\psi$  quasi-contains  $\pi_\phi$ .

If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is separable, then the restriction of the enveloping von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}''$  to a direct sum of a denumerable number of cyclic representations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a faithful normal state. Hence Theorems 3.6, 3.8, and 3.9 as well as Theorem 3.7 for sequences are valid for positive linear functionals of  $C^*$ -algebras.

If  $\psi$  is a positive linear functional of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that the corresponding cyclic vector  $\mathcal{P}$  for the associated cyclic representation  $\pi_\psi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is separating for the weak closure  $\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})''$ , then the perturbed state  $\psi^h$  for  $h = h^* \in \mathfrak{A}$  is defined by

$$(5.5) \quad \psi^h(a) = (\mathcal{P}[\pi_\psi(h)], \pi_\psi(a)\mathcal{P}[\pi_\psi(h)]), \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

For such  $\psi$ , Theorem 3.10 holds for  $C^*$ -algebras.

### § 6. Conditional Entropy

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a UHF algebra with an increasing sequence of finite dimensional factors  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  generating  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^c$  be the relative commutant of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_m$ . The conditional entropy  $\tilde{S}_n(\phi)$  of a positive linear functional  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is defined by

$$(6.1) \quad \tilde{S}_n(\phi) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (S(E_{\mathfrak{A}_m} \phi) - S(E_{\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^c} \phi))$$

where

$$S(\psi) = -\psi(\log \rho_\psi)$$

for a positive linear functional  $\psi$  of a finite dimensional factor  $\mathfrak{M}_k$  and  $\rho_\psi$  is the density matrix of  $\psi$  defined by

$$\psi(a) = \tau(\rho_\psi a), \quad a \in \mathfrak{M}_k$$

with the unique trace state  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_k$ . ([3])

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\cdot,n}^c$  be the relative commutant of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\omega$  be the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_{\cdot,n}^c$  and  $\omega'$  be any positive linear functional on  $\mathfrak{A}_{\cdot,n}^c$ . Then

$$(6.2) \quad S(E_{\mathfrak{A}_n} \phi) - S(E_{\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}} \phi) = -S(E_{\mathfrak{A}_m}(\tau_n \otimes \omega') / E_{\mathfrak{A}_m} \phi) + S(E_{\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}} \omega' / E_{\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}} \omega)$$

where  $\tau_n$  is the unique trace state on  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ , because the density matrices for  $E_{\mathfrak{A}_m}(\tau_n \otimes \omega')$  and for  $E_{\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}} \omega'$  are the same element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

By taking the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and using (5.4), we obtain

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{S}_n(\phi) = S(\omega' / \omega) - S(\tau_n \otimes \omega' / \phi).$$

Since the left hand side is finite, it follows that if either  $S(\omega' / \omega)$  or  $S(\tau_n \otimes \omega' / \phi)$  is finite, then both quantities are finite and (6.3) holds. This formula has been used in [3].

### References

- [1] Araki, H., *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* **11** (1975-76), 809-833.
- [2] ———, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **44** (1975), 1-7.
- [3] ——— and Sewell, G. L., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **52** (1977), 103-109.
- [4] ———, *Pacific J. Math.*, **50** (1974), 309-354.
- [5] Connes, A., *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble.*, **24**, 4 (1974), 121-155.
- [6] Haagerup, U., *Math. Scand.*, **37** (1975), 271-283.
- [7] Connes, A., *Ann. Scient. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 4e série, **6** (1973), 133-252.
- [8] Araki, H., *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.*, **9** (1973), 165-209.