Poincarè Conjecture and the h-cobordism theorem

math.DG Notes Vaibhav Kalvakota

In this lecture, we will look at the **h-cobordism** theorem and some examples of where it is significant, particularly in understanding the Poincaré conjecture, among many things. Note that I do not cite any specific papers for an introduction, since this lecture points to a number of results for which some technical basic knowledge is presumed.In the next lectures, the references would point to some of the papers used in this one as well.

First, we will start by revising what an h-cobordism is. A cobordism is an N−Riemann manifold M whose boundary decomposes into embedded smooth manifolds $\partial M = V_0 \sqcup V_1$. An h-cobordism (homotopy-cobordism) is one for which the inclusion maps $\iota_0 : V_0 \hookrightarrow M$ and $\iota_1 : V_1 \hookrightarrow M$ are homotopy-equivalences. Then. the h-cobordism theorem can be defined as follows¹:

Theorem 1 (h-cobordism theorem). Let M be a simply connected N-cobordism (with $N \ge 6$) between V_0^{N-1} and V_1^{N-1} . Then, $M \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} V_0^{N-1} \times [0,1].$

We will apply this in the case of an $N-\text{disk }\mathbb{D}^N$ for the sake of argument and clarity of application towards the Poincarè conjecture and its proof as it was found by Smale in 1961 through his celebrated $N \geq 6$ h-cobordism technique. In the case of a disk, one can use the same technique, consisting of essentially splitting the manifold into primes. We'll start by looking at the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Let M be a contractible manifold satsifying the properties of theorem 1. Then, $M \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{D}^N$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak G$ be an embedding of $\mathbb D^N$ into M and identify the interior Int($\mathbb D$), for which M – $\mathfrak{G}(\text{Int}(\mathbb{D}))$ is a cobordism $\partial M \Longleftrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. If we piece these sections back, we would have M from \mathbb{D}^{N-1} and the cobordism $M - \mathfrak{G}(\text{Int}(\mathbb{D})) \equiv \mathcal{B}$. The following (homotpy) pushout diagram shows this decomposition:

Here, B is simply connected (due to homotopy cofiber sequence $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \to \mathcal{B} \to M$), and therefore, one can has

$$
\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \xrightarrow{H^{\cong}} \mathcal{B},
$$

¹Note that I defer from providing a proof of this theorem, but one could read this from Milnor's Lectures on the h-Cobordism Theorem.

where $A \xrightarrow{H \cong} B$ denotes homotopic equivalence. Now, one can use the h-cobordism result from theorem 1 to identify that $\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times [0,1]$. Gluing $\partial \mathbb{D}$ back, one sees that $M \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{D}^{N-1}$, completing the proof. \Box

Before stating and working with the Poincaré conjecture, we will first note a rather nice thing:

Remark 1. Given a map \mathfrak{G} between \mathbb{S}^{N-1} , one can identify that there exists a homeomorphism \mathfrak{F} between \mathbb{D}^N : $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \stackrel{\mathfrak{G}}{\xrightarrow{\hspace*{1cm}}} \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ $\mathbb{D}^N \xrightarrow{\qquad} \mathbb{D}^N$ $^{\circ}$ ∃ \mathfrak{F}

With this clear, we will now state the generalized Poincaré conjecture:

Theorem 3 (A version of the Poincarè conjecture). An N -manifold² that is homotopy equivalent to the \mathbb{S}^N is also homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^N .

As you can guess at this point, the proof of this is somewhat straightforward in terms of the decomposition of the primes \mathbb{D}^N_{\pm} and \mathbb{S}^{N-1}_{\pm} under the assumption of the h-cobordism theorem. The proof is as follows:

Proof. The first diagram shows the decomposition of $M = \mathbb{D}_0^N \sqcup \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathbb{D}_1^N$ by identifying the boundaries of the disks and $M-\mathfrak{G}(\text{Int}(\mathbb{D}_0^N\sqcup\mathbb{D}_1^N))\equiv\mathcal{B}$. Next, using the Alexandrov trick to induce \mathfrak{F} on \mathbb{D}^N from G homeomorphism induced on S, we would get the second diagram below: From the second

diagram where we used the Alexandrov trick, we see that there is a homeomorphism between \mathbb{S}^N and M by identifying the maps of the $N-$ disks. However, in using the h-cobordism theorem, one has to be sure that the inclusion maps *indeed* have the homotopy equivalence nature. This can be found as a lemma as follows:

Lemma 1. If M is homotpic to \mathbb{S}^N and B is a cobordism between \mathbb{S}_0^{N-1} and \mathbb{S}_1^{N-1} as obtained from the subtraction of the $N-\text{disk}$ images $M-\mathfrak{G}\left(\text{Int}\left(\mathbb{D}_0^N\sqcup\mathbb{D}_1^N\right)\right)$, then $\mathbb{S}_0^{N-1}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{B}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

²As we shall see at the end of these notes, by this we mean a *topological* manifold. The smoothness condition poses problems, as one does not have a Poincaré conjecture holding from the h-cobordism theorem in the case of smooth manifolds.

Due to the above lemma, one can use the h-cobordism theorem to show that there is a homeomorphism between \mathbb{S}^N and M, concluding our proof. \Box

Finally, I will remark briefly on the validity regimes of this proof. Let topological manifolds be Man^{Top} smooth manifolds be Man^{PL}, and smooth manifolds be Man[∞]. Then, from Rourke and Sanderson, one has the following result:

Theorem 4. In $N \geq 6$, the h-cobordism theorem holds for $\mathbf{Man}^{\text{Top}}$, \mathbf{Man}^{PL} and \mathbf{Man}^{∞} .

Remark 2. It must be said that our discussion of the h-cobordism theorem is in Man^{∞} ; from the above theorem, it might be instinctive to guess that the Poincarè conjecture also holds for all three. However, this is not true; the Poincarè conjecture does not necessarily hold for Man^{∞} . To note this, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5. The Poincarè conjecture holds for $\mathbf{Man}^{\text{Top}}$ and \mathbf{Man}^{PL} in $N \geq 6$. However, this does not hold for \mathbf{Man}^{∞} .

A very efficient way to note the positivity of the Poincarè conjecture in Man^{PL} is to note that the Alexandrov trick is not changed in any way, since the radical extension for a PL map is a PL map. For the $N = 5$ case, we have a *very* special observation – from Donaldson and Kronheimer and Freedman and Quinn, the h-cobordism theorem holds for Man^{Top} but does not hold for Man^{PL} and Man^{∞} . Reducing one more dimension, in $N = 4$ the Poincarè conjecture and the h-cobordism theorem are the same statement, and as far as I know, there is a problem that is currently open regarding the nature of exotic structures on 4−spheres. So if any of you have some way to prove it, that would be just amazing.

It must be noted that analytic manifolds \mathbf{Man}^{ω} also enter the discussion. However, I have deferred from adding this into our discussion considering that Man^{ω} and Man^{∞} are equivalent. This is a theorem due to Grauert and Morrey, which states that all smooth manifolds admit a unique analytic structure. Another interesting note over here is that Perelman's proof in $N = 3$ fits in for all categories, which follows from the following:

Remark 3. In $N \leq 3$, the manifolds Man^{Top} , Man^{PL} and Man^{∞} are equivalent.

Further, a result by Wang and Xu shows that in $N \geq 61$, the smooth Poincaré conjecture we considered above would fail, however this would be a nice thing to provide an outlook of in an upcoming lecture.